

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, MARCH 26, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

<p>S097344 4th Dist. D036868 Div. 1</p>	<p>Summit Financial Holdings, Ltd., Plaintiff and Respondent v. Continental Lawyers Title Company, Defendant and Appellant The time for granting or denying a rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to and including June 5, 2002, or the date upon which a rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.</p>
<p>6th Dist. H024216 S105362</p>	<p>Stahn Michael Muller, Petitioner v. Santa Clara County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.</p>
<p>2nd Dist. B148812 Div. 3 S103978</p>	<p>Estate of Dorothy Stankiewicz, Deceased ----- Jackson Samuel Sugarman, Petitioner and Respondent v. Helene Rosdhal et al., Objectors and Appellants The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including April 26, 2002, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.</p>
<p>4th Dist. D036356 Div. 1 S095992</p>	<p>Morgan Victor Manduley et al., Petitioners v. San Diego County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest And Companion Case Finality of the opinion in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to and including April 29, 2002.</p>
<p>4th Dist. E027407 Div. 2 S104039</p>	<p>Mary Norton et al., Respondents v. Morningside Community Association, Appellant The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including May 8, 2002, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.</p>

4th Dist. Tustin Thrift and Loan Association, Appellant

G024223 v.

Div. 3 W. Randall Welty et al., Respondents

S104108 -----

W. Randall Welty, Appellant

v.

Tustin Thrift and Loan Association et al., Respondents

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including May 3, 2002, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.

6th Dist. John Kapusi, Respondent

H019359 v.

S104139 Michael Freeman et al., Appellants

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including May 6, 2002, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.

S014394 People, Respondent

v.

Fermin Rodriguez Ledesma, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Karl S. Mayer's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by May 31, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to to that date. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S026040 People, Respondent

v.

Richard J. Viera, Appellant

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the the reply brief is granted to May 28, 2002. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record of this schedule, and take all steps necessary to meet this schedule.

S049389 People, Respondent

v.

Thomas Howard Lenart, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Susan Rankin Bunting's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by April 26, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 26, 2002. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S090636 In re Steven D. Catlin

on

Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Stephen G. Herndon's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response by April 26, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 26, 2002. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S101171 In re James Gregory Marlow

on

Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Pamela A. Ratner's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response by May 5, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 29, 2002. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S101172 In re James Gregory Marlow

on

Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Pamela A. Ratner's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response by July 1, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 29, 2002. After that date, only two further extensions totaling 63 additional days are contemplated.

S102634 In re Samuel J., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law

People, Respondent

v.

Samuel J., Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's opening brief on the merits is extended to and including April 18, 2002.

S034473 People, Respondent

v.

Christian Antonio Monterroso, Appellant

Appellant's application to file reply brief in excess of page limit is granted.

S099345 In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys
Pursuant to Rule 962, California Rules of Court

Having been provided proof of compliance pursuant to Family Code 17520, the suspension of **James Edward MacMaster** pursuant to our order filed on July 28, 2001, is hereby terminated

This order is final forthwith.

S099345 In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys
Pursuant to Rule 962, California Rules of Court

Having been provided proof of compliance pursuant to Family Code 17520, the suspension of **William Walton Stewart** pursuant to our order filed on January 23, 2002, is hereby terminated

This order is final forthwith.

S103398 In re **Hoyt Elvin Hart II** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Hoyt Elvin Hart II, State Bar No. 125008**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed November 9, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date

of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2003 and 2004.

S103401 In re **Donald Barnett** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Donald Barnett, State Bar No. 33012**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for 18 months subject to the conditions of probation, including four months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 8, 2001. It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-fourth of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.) *(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S105263 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Lawrence Michael Cohen**
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Lawrence Michael Cohen, State Bar No. 113090**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)